

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,709		09/07/2000	In-Sik Park	1293.1131 2122	
21171	7590	08/16/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700				CHU, KIM KWOK	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2653	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	ion No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/656,709	09/656,709 PARK ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kim-Kwok CHU	2653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 7/2/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons be Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17,18,20,22,55-57,76,77,79,81</u>	<u>l and 83</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,11-16,19,21,23,24,35,36,41-5	4,58-75,78,80,82 and 84-94.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

- 1. In claim 1, lines 3 and 6, the amended feature "non-magnetic" requires further consideration and search; and
- 2. other claims with the above amended feature require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1. Applicant states that the prior art of Ando does not disclose the feature of a "non-magnetic writable storage area" (page 18 of the Remarks, lines 22 and 23). Accordingly, the amended feature "non-magnetic" is not entered and claims are rejected as in the Office Action dated 4/7/2004;
- 2. Applicant states that there is no motivation to combine the two references (Ando in view of Kozuka) to achieve the features recited in present application (page 20 of the Remarks, lines 23-25). Accordingly, although Ando teaches a magneto-optical disc and Kozuka teaches a DVD disc, the benefit of adding a lead-out area such as Kozuka's is obvious because the lead-out area can be used as an additional file management area; and
- 3. the rejected claims 20, 22, 57, 79, 81 and 83 should be objected because they depend on objected claims 17, 18, 55, 56, 78 and 77.

(2 9/11 /

Examiner: Kim-Kwok CHU

(703) 305-3032

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600